arXiv:1410.2551v1 [nucl-th] 22 Sep 2014

Twelve-quark hypernuclei with $A = 4$ in relativistic quark-gluon model

S.M. Gerasyuta* and E.E. Matskevich†
Department of Physics, St. Petersburg State Forest Technical University, Institutski Per. 5, St. Petersburg 194021, Russia

Hypernuclei ${}^{4}_{Y}He$, ${}^{4}_{Y}H$, ${}^{4}_{YY}He$, ${}^{4}_{YY}H$, where $Y = \Lambda$, Σ_0, Σ_+, Σ_-, $A = 4$ are considered using the relativistic twelve-quark equations in the framework of the dispersion relation technique. Hypernuclei as the systems of interacting quarks and gluons are considered. The relativistic twelve-quark amplitudes of hypernuclei, including u, d, s quarks are constructed. The approximate solutions of these equations are obtained using a method based on the extraction of leading singularities of the amplitudes. The poles of the multiquark amplitudes allow us to determine the masses of hypernuclei with the atomic (baryon) number $A = B = 4$. The mass of state ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}He$ with the isospin projection $I_3 = \frac{1}{2}$ and the spin-parity $J^P = 0^+$ is equal to $M = 3922\,MeV$. The mass of ${}^{4}_{\Lambda\Lambda}H$ $M = 4118\,MeV$ with the isospin projection $I_3 = 0$ and the spin-parity $J^P = 0^+$ is calculated. We predict the mass spectrum of hypernuclei with $A = 4$, which is valuable to further experimental study of the hypernuclei.

PACS numbers: 11.55.Fv, 11.80.Jy, 12.39.Ki, 12.39.Mk.

I. INTRODUCTION.

The hypernuclear physics experimental program, and the difficulties arised in accurately determining rates for low-energy nuclear reactions, warrant continued effort in the application of LQCD to nuclear physics [1–12].

In the limit of flavor $SU(3)$ symmetry at the physical strange quark mass with quantum chromodynamics (without electromagnetic interactions) the binding energies of a range of nuclei and hypernuclei with atomic number $A \leq 4$ and strangeness $|S| \leq 2$, including the deuteron, H-dibaryon, ${}^{3}He$, ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}He$, ${}^{4}He$, ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}He$ and ${}^{4}_{\Lambda\Lambda}He$ are calculated. From lattice QCD calculations performed with $n_f = 3$ dynamical light quark using an isotropic discretization, the nuclear states are extracted [13–15]. It is now clear that the spectrum of nuclei and hypernuclei changes dramatically from light quark masses.

In our recent paper [16] the relativistic six-quark equations are found in the framework of coupled-channel formalism. The dynamical mixing between the subamplitudes of hexaquark is considered. The six-quark amplitudes of dibaryons are calculated. The poles of these amplitudes determine the masses of dibaryons. We calculated the contribution of six-quark subamplitudes to the hexaquark amplitudes. The model in question has only three parameters: the cutoff parameter $\Lambda = 11$ and gluon coupling constants g_0 and g_1. These parameters are determined by the $\Lambda\Lambda$ and di-Ω masses. In our model the correlation of gluon coupling constants g_0 and g_1 is similar to the S-wave baryon ones [17].

In the previous paper [18], ${}^{3}He$ is considered. The relativistic nine-quark equations are derived in the framework of the dispersion relation technique. The dynamical mixing between the subamplitudes of ${}^{3}He$ is taken into account. The relativistic nine-quark amplitudes of ${}^{3}He$, including the u, d quarks are calculated. The approximate solutions of these equations were obtained using a method based on the extraction of leading singularities of the amplitudes. The pole of the nonaquark amplitudes determined the mass of ${}^{3}He$.

The experimental mass value of ${}^{3}He$ is equal to $M = 2808.39\,MeV$. The experimental data of the hypertriton mass is $M = 2991.17\,MeV$. This model use only three parameters, which are determined by the following masses: the cutoff $\Lambda = 9.0$ and the gluon coupling constant $g = 0.2122$. The mass of the u-quark is $m = 410\,MeV$, and the mass of strange quark $m_s = 607\,MeV$, which takes into account the confinement potential (the shift mass is equal to $50\,MeV$) [19].

The relativistic nona-amplitudes of low-lying hypernuclei, including the three flavors (u, d, s) are calculated. The degeneracy of the isospin 0, 1, 2 is predicted in the lowest hypernuclei. It is the property of our approach. The low-lying hypernuclei with the spin-parity $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+$, $\frac{3}{2}^+$ are calculated. We calculated the masses and the binding energies of 12 hypernuclei with $A = 3$. The binding energy is small for the states ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}He$, ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}H$, and $nn\Lambda$. In the other cases, the binding energies are large, ~ 50 – $100\ MeV$. We have calculated only five systems of equations; therefore, the masses of hypernuclei are degenerated. We do not include the electromagnetic effect contribution.

*Electronic address: gerasyuta@SG6488.spb.edu
†Electronic address: matskev@pobox.spbu.ru

TABLE I: Masses and binding energies of ${}^4He$. Parameters of model: $\Lambda = 6.355$, $g = 0.2122$, $m = 410\,MeV$, $m_s = 594\,MeV$.

hypernuclei	quark content	Q	I_3	I	J^P	mass, MeV	binding energy, MeV
${}^4_{\Lambda}He$ $(ppn\Lambda)$	$uud\,uud\,udd\,uds$	2	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{3}{2}$	0^+, 1^+	3922	10
${}^4_{\Sigma^0}He$ $(ppn\Sigma^0)$	$uud\,uud\,udd\,uds$	2	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{3}{2}$, $\frac{5}{2}$	0^+, 1^+	3922	87
${}^4_{\Sigma^+}He$ $(ppn\Sigma^+)$	$uud\,uud\,udd\,uus$	3	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{3}{2}$, $\frac{5}{2}$	0^+, 1^+	3904	101
${}^4_{\Sigma^-}He$ $(ppn\Sigma^-)$	$uud\,uud\,udd\,dds$	1	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{3}{2}$, $\frac{5}{2}$	0^+, 1^+	3933	81
${}^4_{\Xi^0}He$ $(ppn\Xi^0)$	$uud\,uud\,udd\,uss$	2	1	1, 2	0^+, 1^+	4106	25
${}^4_{\Xi^-}He$ $(ppn\Xi^-)$	$uud\,uud\,udd\,dss$	1	0	0, 1, 2	0^+, 1^+	4121	17
${}^4_{\Omega}He$ $(ppn\Omega)$	$uud\,uud\,udd\,sss$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{3}{2}$	1^+, 2^+	4293	196

TABLE II: Masses and binding energies of ${}^4H$ hypernuclei.

hypernuclei	quark content	Q	I_3	I	J^P	mass, MeV	binding energy, MeV
${}^4_{\Lambda}H$ $(pnn\Lambda)$	$uud\,udd\,udd\,uds$	1	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{3}{2}$	0^+, 1^+	3922	12
${}^4_{\Sigma^0}H$ $(pnn\Sigma^0)$	$uud\,udd\,udd\,uds$	1	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{3}{2}$, $\frac{5}{2}$	0^+, 1^+	3922	89
${}^4_{\Sigma^-}H$ $(pnn\Sigma^-)$	$uud\,udd\,udd\,dds$	0	$-\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{3}{2}$, $\frac{5}{2}$	0^+, 1^+	3904	112
${}^4_{\Sigma^+}H$ $(pnn\Sigma^+)$	$uud\,udd\,udd\,uus$	2	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{3}{2}$, $\frac{5}{2}$	0^+, 1^+	3933	74
${}^4_{\Xi^-}H$ $(pnn\Xi^-)$	$uud\,udd\,udd\,dss$	0	-1	1, 2	0^+, 1^+	4106	34
${}^4_{\Xi^0}H$ $(pnn\Xi^0)$	$uud\,udd\,udd\,uss$	1	0	0, 1, 2	0^+, 1^+	4121	12
${}^4_{\Omega}H$ $(pnn\Omega)$	$uud\,udd\,udd\,sss$	0	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{3}{2}$	1^+, 2^+	4293	198

Hypernuclei spectroscopy is enjoying an experimental renaissance with ongoing and planned program at DAΦNE, FAIR, Jefferson Lab, J-PARC and Mainz providing motivation for enhanced theoretical efforts (for a recent review, see Ref. [20]).

In the chiral soliton approach using the bound state rigid oscillator version of the $SU(3)$ quantization model [21, 22] the binding energies of neutron-rich are estimated.

Recently, a new direction of such studies, the studies of neutron-rich hypernuclei with strangeness $S = -1$ got new impact due to discovery of the hypernucleus ${}^6_{\Lambda}H$ (heavy hyperhydrogen) by the FINUDA Collaboration [23].

In the present paper, the hypernuclei ${}^4_{Y}He$, ${}^4_{Y}H$, ${}^4_{YY}He$, ${}^4_{YY}H$, where $Y = \Lambda$, Σ_0, Σ_+, Σ_-; $A = 4$ are considered.

TABLE III: Masses and binding energies of ${}^4_{YY}He$.

hypernuclei	quark content	Q	I_3	I	J^P	mass, MeV	binding energy, MeV
${}^4_{\Lambda\Lambda}He$ $(pp\Lambda\Lambda)$	$uud\,uud\,uds\,uds$	2	1	1	0^+	4127	-19
${}^4_{\Lambda\Sigma^0}He$ $(pp\Lambda\Sigma^0)$	$uud\,uud\,uds\,uds$	2	1	1, 2	0^+, 1^+	4127	58
${}^4_{\Sigma^0\Sigma^0}He$ $(pp\Sigma^0\Sigma^0)$	$uud\,uud\,uds\,uds$	2	1	1, 2, 3	0^+	4127	135
${}^4_{\Sigma^+\Sigma^+}He$ $(pp\Sigma^+\Sigma^+)$	$uud\,uud\,uus\,uus$	4	3	3	0^+	4008	246
${}^4_{\Sigma^-\Sigma^-}He$ $(pp\Sigma^-\Sigma^-)$	$uud\,uud\,dds\,dds$	0	-1	1, 2, 3	0^+	4150	122
${}^4_{\Lambda\Sigma^+}He$ $(pp\Lambda\Sigma^+)$	$uud\,uud\,uds\,uus$	3	2	2	0^+, 1^+	4086	95
${}^4_{\Lambda\Sigma^-}He$ $(pp\Lambda\Sigma^-)$	$uud\,uud\,uds\,dds$	1	0	0, 1, 2	0^+, 1^+	4114	76
${}^4_{\Sigma^+\Sigma^-}He$ $(pp\Sigma^+\Sigma^-)$	$uud\,uud\,uus\,dds$	2	1	1, 2, 3	0^+, 1^+	4105	158

TABLE IV: Masses and binding energies of ${}^{4}_{YY}H$.

hypernuclei	quark content	Q	I_3	I	J^P	mass, MeV	binding energy, MeV
${}^{4}_{\Lambda\Lambda}H$ $(pn\Lambda\Lambda)$	$uud\,udd\,uds\,uds$	1	0	0, 1	0^+, 1^+	4118	-8
${}^{4}_{\Lambda\Sigma^0}H$ $(pn\Lambda\Sigma^0)$	$uud\,udd\,uds\,uds$	1	0	0, 1, 2	0^+, 1^+, 2^+	4118	69
${}^{4}_{\Sigma^0\Sigma^0}H$ $(pn\Sigma^0\Sigma^0)$	$uud\,udd\,uds\,uds$	1	0	0, 1, 2, 3	0^+, 1^+	4118	146
${}^{4}_{\Sigma^+\Sigma^+}H$ $(pn\Sigma^+\Sigma^+)$	$uud\,udd\,uus\,uus$	3	2	2, 3	0^+, 1^+	4081	175
${}^{4}_{\Sigma^-\Sigma^-}H$ $(pn\Sigma^-\Sigma^-)$	$uud\,udd\,dds\,dds$	-1	-2	2, 3	0^+, 1^+	4081	193
${}^{4}_{\Lambda\Sigma^+}H$ $(pn\Lambda\Sigma^+)$	$uud\,udd\,uds\,uus$	2	1	1, 2	0^+, 1^+, 2^+	4099	84
${}^{4}_{\Lambda\Sigma^-}H$ $(pn\Lambda\Sigma^-)$	$uud\,udd\,uds\,dds$	0	-1	1, 2	0^+, 1^+, 2^+	4099	93
${}^{4}_{\Sigma^+\Sigma^-}H$ $(pn\Sigma^+\Sigma^-)$	$uud\,udd\,uus\,dds$	1	0	0, 1, 2, 3	0^+, 1^+, 2^+	4109	156

TABLE V: Masses and binding energies of $nnYY$.

hypernuclei	quark content	Q	I_3	I	J^P	mass, MeV	binding energy, MeV
$nn\Lambda\Lambda$	$udd\,udd\,uds\,uds$	0	-1	1	0^+	4127	-15
$nn\Lambda\Sigma^0$	$udd\,udd\,uds\,uds$	0	-1	1, 2	0^+, 1^+	4127	62
$nn\Sigma^0\Sigma^0$	$udd\,udd\,uds\,uds$	0	-1	1, 2, 3	0^+	4127	139
$nn\Sigma^+\Sigma^+$	$udd\,udd\,uus\,uus$	2	1	1, 2, 3	0^+	4150	108
$nn\Sigma^-\Sigma^-$	$udd\,udd\,dds\,dds$	-2	-3	3	0^+	4008	268
$nn\Lambda\Sigma^+$	$udd\,udd\,uds\,uus$	1	0	0, 1, 2	0^+, 1^+	4114	71
$nn\Lambda\Sigma^-$	$udd\,udd\,uds\,dds$	-1	-2	2	0^+, 1^+	4086	108
$nn\Sigma^+\Sigma^-$	$udd\,udd\,uus\,dds$	0	-1	1, 2, 3	0^+, 1^+	4105	162

The approximate solutions of the twelve-quark equations are obtained using the method based on the extraction of leading singularities of the amplitude. The poles of these amplitudes determine the masses of the hypernuclei.

In Sec. II, the relativistic twelve-quark amplitudes, including the three flavors (u, d, s) are constructed. We derived the dynamical mixing between the subamplitudes of the hypernuclei. Sec. III is devoted to the calculation results for the masses and binding energies of ${}^4He$, ${}^4H$ and $nnYY$ hypernuclei (Tables I – V).

In conclusion, the status of the considered model is discussed (Table VI).

In the Appendix A, the coefficients of the coupled equations reduced amplitude α_4 ($I_3 = \frac{1}{2}$, $J^P = 0^+$ ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}He$) are given.

TABLE VI: Binding energies of low-lying nuclei and hypernuclei (NPLQCD Collaboration).

State	A	S	I	J^P	binding energy (B^∞), MeV
${}^{4}_{2}He$	4	0	0	0^+	107
${}^{4}_{\Lambda}He$	4	-1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0^+	107
${}^{4}_{\Lambda}H$	4	-1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0^+	107
${}^{4}_{\Lambda\Lambda}He$	4	-2	1	0^+	156
${}^{4}_{\Lambda\Lambda}H$	4	-2	0	0^+	156
$nn\Lambda\Lambda$	4	-2	1	0^+	156

The Appendix B shown the graphical equations of the reduced amplitude $\alpha_4^{1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ds}}$ ($I_3=\frac{1}{2}$, $J^P=0^+$ ${}^4_\Lambda He$).
The interaction of quarks and gluons is described with the functions I_1 – I_{46} in Appendix C.

II. TWELVE-QUARK AMPLITUDES OF HYPERNUCLEI.

We derive the relativistic twelve-quark equations in the framework of the dispersion relation technique. The planar diagrams are used; the other diagrams are neglected due to the rules of $1/N_c$ expansion [24–26].

The current generates a twelve-quark system. The correct equations for the amplitude are obtained by taking into account all possible subamplitudes. Then one should represent a twelve-particle amplitude as a sum of 66 subamplitudes:

$$A=\sum_{\substack{i<j\\ i,j=1}}^{12} A_{ij}\,. \tag{1}$$

This defines the division of the diagrams into groups according to the certain pair interaction of particles. The total amplitude can be represented graphically as a sum of diagrams. It allows us to consider only one group of diagrams and the amplitude corresponding to them, for example A_{12}. The relativistic generalization of the Faddeev-Yakubovsky approach is used [27, 28]. In our case, the hyperhydrogens and hyperheliums consist of the similar equations and therefore, the masses and other properties of these states are resembling. The quark content of all states is given in the Tables I – V. The pairwise interaction of all twelve quarks is taken into account. The set of diagrams associated with the amplitude A_{12} can further be broken down into some groups corresponding to subamplitudes.

In order to represent the subamplitudes A_i in the form of a dispersion relation it is necessary to define the amplitudes of quark-quark interactions $b_n(s_{ik})$. The pair quarks amplitudes $qq\to qq$ are calculated in the framework of the dispersion N/D method with the input four-fermion interaction with quantum numbers of the gluon [29]. We use the results of our relativistic quark model [29] and write down the pair quarks amplitudes in the form:

$$b_n(s_{ik})=\frac{G_n^2(s_{ik})}{1-B_n(s_{ik})}\,, \tag{2}$$

$$B_n(s_{ik})=\int\limits_{(m_i+m_k)^2}^{\Lambda_n}\frac{ds'_{ik}}{\pi}\frac{\rho_n(s'_{ik})G_n^2(s'_{ik})}{s'_{ik}-s_{ik}}\,. \tag{3}$$

Here, s_{ik} is the two-particle subenergy squared. $G_n(s_{ik})$ are the quark-quark vertex functions (Table VII). $B_n(s_{ik})$, $\rho_n(s_{ik})$ are the Chew-Mandelstam functions with cutoff Λ_n [30] and the phase space, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_n(s_{ik},J^P) &= \left(\alpha(J^P,n)\frac{s_{ik}}{(m_i+m_k)^2}+\beta(J^P,n)+\delta(J^P,n)\frac{(m_i-m_k)^2}{s_{ik}}\right)\\ &\times\ \frac{\sqrt{(s_{ik}-(m_i+m_k)^2)(s_{ik}-(m_i-m_k)^2)}}{s_{ik}}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

The coefficients $\alpha(J^P,n)$, $\beta(J^P,n)$ and $\delta(J^P,n)$ are given in Table VII.

Here $n=1$ coresponds to qq-pairs with $J^P=0^+$, $n=2$ describes the qq pairs with $J^P=1^+$.

In our model the interacting quarks do not produce a bound states; therefore the integration is carried out from the threshold $(m_i+m_k)^2$ to the cutoff Λ_n.

Let us extract singularities in the coupled equations and obtain the reduced amplitudes α_i.

At first, we obtain the system of 20 equations for the ${}^4_2He$ with the isospin projection $I_3=0$, the spin-parity $J^P=0^+$ ($ppnn$). The reduced amplitudes α_1 are determined by the channels: 1^{uu}, 0^{ud}, 1^{dd}. The α_2 are constructed as $1^{uu}1^{uu}$, $1^{uu}0^{ud}$, $1^{uu}1^{dd}$, $0^{ud}0^{ud}$, $0^{ud}1^{dd}$, $1^{dd}1^{dd}$. The reduced amplitudes α_3 are the following:

$$1^{uu}1^{uu}0^{ud},\ 1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd},1^{uu}0^{ud}1^{dd},\ 1^{uu}1^{dd}1^{dd},\ 0^{ud}1^{dd}1^{dd}. \tag{5}$$

TABLE VII: The vertex functions and coefficients of Chew-Mandelstam functions.

n	J^P	$G_n^2(s_{kl})$	α_n	β_n	δ_n
1	0^+	$\frac{4g}{3}-\frac{8gm_{kl}^2}{(3s_{kl})}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(m_k-m_l)^2}{(m_k+m_l)^2}$	0
2	1^+	$\frac{2g}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{4m_km_l}{3(m_k+m_l)^2}-\frac{1}{6}$	$-\frac{1}{6}$

The α_4 are just:

$$1^{uu}1^{uu}0^{ud}0^{ud},\ 1^{uu}1^{uu}0^{ud}1^{dd},\ 1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}1^{dd},$$
$$1^{uu}0^{ud}0^{ud}1^{dd},\ 1^{uu}0^{ud}1^{dd}1^{dd},\ 0^{ud}0^{ud}1^{dd}1^{dd}. \quad (6)$$

Here the α_1 are determined by the diquarks, the α_2 includes the two diquarks and eight quarks. α_3 defines the three diquarks and six quarks. The α_4 allows us to consider the $ppnn$ $(uud\,uud\,udd\,udd)$ ${}^4_2He$ state.

Then we calculate the solution of 33 equations for the hypernucleous ${}^4_\Lambda He$ $(ppn\Lambda)$ with the isospin projection $I_3=\frac{1}{2}$ and the spin-parity $J^P=0^+$ (Table I).

The reduced amplitudes α_1, α_2 with the one s-quark are similar to:

$$\alpha_1^{1^{uu}},\ \alpha_1^{1^{dd}},\ \alpha_1^{0^{ud}},\ \alpha_1^{0^{us}},\ \alpha_1^{0^{ds}}; \quad (7)$$

$$\alpha_2^{1^{uu}1^{uu}},\ \alpha_2^{1^{uu}1^{dd}},\ \alpha_2^{1^{uu}0^{ud}},\ \alpha_2^{1^{uu}0^{us}},\ \alpha_2^{1^{uu}0^{ds}},\ \alpha_2^{1^{dd}1^{dd}},$$
$$\alpha_2^{1^{dd}0^{ud}},\ \alpha_2^{1^{dd}0^{us}},\ \alpha_2^{1^{dd}0^{ds}},\ \alpha_2^{0^{ud}0^{ud}},\ \alpha_2^{0^{ud}0^{us}},\ \alpha_2^{0^{ud}0^{ds}}. \quad (8)$$

We have to add the reduced amplitudes α_3, α_4

$$\alpha_3^{1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}},\ \alpha_3^{1^{uu}1^{uu}0^{ud}},\ \alpha_3^{1^{uu}1^{uu}0^{us}},\ \alpha_3^{1^{uu}1^{uu}0^{ds}},$$
$$\alpha_3^{1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ud}},\ \alpha_3^{1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{us}},\ \alpha_3^{1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ds}}; \quad (9)$$

$$\alpha_4^{1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ud}},\ \alpha_4^{1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{us}},\ \alpha_4^{1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ds}},$$
$$\alpha_4^{1^{uu}1^{uu}0^{ud}0^{ud}},\ \alpha_4^{1^{uu}1^{uu}0^{ud}0^{us}},\ \alpha_4^{1^{uu}1^{uu}0^{ud}0^{ds}},$$
$$\alpha_4^{1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ud}0^{ud}},\ \alpha_4^{1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ud}0^{us}},\ \alpha_4^{1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ud}0^{ds}}. \quad (10)$$

If we consider the coupled equations corresponded to the reduced amplitudes with two s-quarks (${}^4_{\Lambda\Lambda}H$, ${}^4_{\Lambda\Sigma^0}H$, ${}^4_{\Sigma^0\Sigma^0}H$), we use 33 equations (Tables III – V).

The reduced amplitudes α_1, α_2 are equal to:

$$\alpha_1^{1^{uu}},\ \alpha_1^{1^{dd}},\ \alpha_1^{0^{ud}},\ \alpha_1^{0^{us}},\ \alpha_1^{0^{ds}},\ \alpha_1^{1^{ss}}; \quad (11)$$

$$\alpha_2^{1^{uu}1^{uu}},\ \alpha_2^{1^{uu}1^{dd}},\ \alpha_2^{1^{uu}0^{ud}},\ \alpha_2^{1^{uu}0^{us}},\ \alpha_2^{1^{uu}0^{ds}},\ \alpha_2^{1^{dd}1^{dd}},$$
$$\alpha_2^{1^{dd}0^{ud}},\ \alpha_2^{1^{dd}0^{us}},\ \alpha_2^{1^{dd}0^{ds}},\ \alpha_2^{0^{ud}0^{ud}},\ \alpha_2^{0^{ud}0^{us}},\ \alpha_2^{0^{ud}0^{ds}},$$
$$\alpha_2^{1^{uu}1^{ss}},\ \alpha_2^{1^{dd}1^{ss}},\ \alpha_2^{1^{ss}0^{ud}},\ \alpha_2^{0^{us}0^{us}},\ \alpha_2^{0^{us}0^{ds}},\ \alpha_2^{0^{ds}0^{ds}}. \quad (12)$$

The reduced amplitudes α_3 and α_4 are following:

$$\alpha_3^{1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ud}},\, \alpha_3^{1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{us}},\, \alpha_3^{1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ds}}; \tag{13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\alpha_4^{1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ud}0^{ud}},\, \alpha_4^{1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ud}0^{us}},\, \alpha_4^{1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ud}0^{ds}},\\ &\alpha_4^{1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{us}0^{us}},\, \alpha_4^{1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{us}0^{ds}},\, \alpha_4^{1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ds}0^{ds}}.\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

It is interesting in the ${}^{4}_{\Omega}He$ hypernucleus. The system of equations include the 38 equations (Table I):

$$\alpha_1^{1^{uu}},\, \alpha_1^{1^{dd}},\, \alpha_1^{0^{ud}},\, \alpha_1^{0^{us}},\, \alpha_1^{0^{ds}},\, \alpha_1^{1^{ss}}; \tag{15}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\alpha_2^{1^{uu}1^{uu}},\, \alpha_2^{1^{uu}1^{dd}},\, \alpha_2^{1^{uu}0^{ud}},\, \alpha_2^{1^{uu}0^{us}},\, \alpha_2^{1^{uu}0^{ds}},\, \alpha_2^{1^{dd}1^{dd}},\\ &\alpha_2^{1^{dd}0^{ud}},\, \alpha_2^{1^{dd}0^{us}},\, \alpha_2^{1^{dd}0^{ds}},\, \alpha_2^{0^{ud}0^{ud}},\, \alpha_2^{0^{ud}0^{us}},\, \alpha_2^{0^{ud}0^{ds}},\\ &\alpha_2^{1^{uu}1^{ss}},\, \alpha_2^{1^{dd}1^{ss}},\, \alpha_2^{1^{ss}0^{ud}},\, \alpha_2^{0^{us}0^{us}},\, \alpha_2^{0^{us}0^{ds}},\, \alpha_2^{0^{ds}0^{ds}},\\ &\alpha_2^{1^{ss}0^{us}},\, \alpha_2^{1^{ss}0^{ds}};\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\alpha_3^{1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}},\, \alpha_3^{1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{ss}},\, \alpha_3^{1^{uu}1^{dd}1^{ss}},\\ &\alpha_3^{1^{uu}1^{uu}0^{ud}},\, \alpha_3^{1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ud}},\, \alpha_3^{1^{uu}1^{ss}0^{ud}},\, \alpha_3^{1^{dd}1^{ss}0^{ud}};\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\alpha_4^{1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}1^{ss}},\, \alpha_4^{1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{ss}0^{ud}},\, \alpha_4^{1^{uu}1^{dd}1^{ss}0^{ud}},\\ &\alpha_4^{1^{uu}1^{ss}0^{ud}0^{ud}},\, \alpha_4^{1^{dd}1^{ss}0^{ud}0^{ud}}.\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

The analogous systems of equations are obtained for the other hypernuclei: ${}^{4}_{\Sigma^+}He$ 31 equations, ${}^{4}_{\Sigma^-}He$ 31 equations, ${}^{4}_{\Xi^0}He$ 41 equations, ${}^{4}_{\Xi^-}He$ 41 equations, ${}^{4}_{\Lambda\Lambda}He$, ${}^{4}_{\Lambda\Sigma^0}He$, ${}^{4}_{\Sigma^0\Sigma^0}He$ 33 equations, ${}^{4}_{\Sigma^+\Sigma^+}He$ 30 equations, ${}^{4}_{\Sigma^-\Sigma^-}He$ 36 equations, ${}^{4}_{\Lambda\Sigma^+}He$ 35 equations, ${}^{4}_{\Lambda\Sigma^-}He$ 40 equations, ${}^{4}_{\Sigma^+\Sigma^-}He$ 39 equations, ${}^{4}_{\Sigma^+\Sigma^+}H$ 38 equations, ${}^{4}_{\Lambda\Sigma^+}H$ 42 equations, ${}^{4}_{\Sigma^+\Sigma^-}H$ 44 equations.

The coefficients of the coupled equations are determined by the permutation of quarks [27, 28] (Appendix A). For simplicity, we consider the graphical equations of the reduced amplitude $\alpha_4^{1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ds}}$ (See Fig. 1 Appendix B). The interaction of quarks and gluons is constructed using the functions I_1 – I_{46} (C1) – (C25) in the Appendix C.

The main contributions are calculated using the functions I_1 and I_2:

$$I_1(ij) \;=\; \frac{B_j(s_0^{13})}{B_i(s_0^{12})} \int\limits_{(m_1+m_2)^2}^{\Lambda\frac{(m_1+m_2)^2}{4}} \frac{ds'_{12}}{\pi} \frac{G_i^2(s_0^{12})\rho_i(s'_{12})}{s'_{12}-s_0^{12}} \int\limits_{-1}^{+1} \frac{dz_1(1)}{2} \frac{1}{1-B_j(s'_{13})}\,, \tag{19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}I_2(ijk) \;=\;& \frac{B_j(s_0^{13})B_k(s_0^{24})}{B_i(s_0^{12})} \int\limits_{(m_1+m_2)^2}^{\Lambda\frac{(m_1+m_2)^2}{4}} \frac{ds'_{12}}{\pi} \frac{G_i^2(s_0^{12})\rho_i(s'_{12})}{s'_{12}-s_0^{12}} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int\limits_{-1}^{+1} \frac{dz_1(2)}{2} \int\limits_{-1}^{+1} \frac{dz_2(2)}{2}\\ &\times \int\limits_{z_3(2)^-}^{z_3(2)^+} dz_3(2) \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-z_1^2(2)-z_2^2(2)-z_3^2(2)+2z_1(2)z_2(2)z_3(2)}}\\ &\times \frac{1}{1-B_j(s'_{13})} \frac{1}{1-B_k(s'_{24})}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where i, j, k correspond to the diquarks with the spin-parity $J^P = 0^+,\, 1^+$.

We used the contributions of the functions (C1) – (C25) in the Appendix C. The other functions I_i are small.

III. CALCULATION RESULTS.

The poles of the reduced amplitudes α_1, α_2, α_3, α_4 correspond to the bound states and determine the masses of low-lying hypernuclei with the atomic number $A = 4$ (Tables I – V).

The experimental mass value of ${}^4_2He$ is equal to $M = 3727.4\,MeV$. The experimental data of the hypernucleus ${}^4_\Lambda He$ (${}^4_\Lambda H$) is $M = 3922\,MeV$.

Our model uses only three parameters, which are determined by the following masses: the cutoff $\Lambda = 6.355$ and the gluon coupling constant $g = 0.2122$. The mass of the u, d quarks is equal to $m = 410\,MeV$, and the mass of strange quark is $m_s = 594\,MeV$, which takes into account the confinement potential (the shift mass is equal to $37\,MeV$)

We used (as input) the hypernucleus ${}^4_\Lambda He$ ($ppn\Lambda$). This mass is equal to $M = 3922\,MeV$ with the binding energy $B = 10\,MeV$. The similar equations give rise to the ${}^4_\Lambda H$ ($pnn\Lambda$) with the mass $M = 3922\,MeV$ and the binding energy $B = 12\,MeV$ (Tables I, II). In the case of the hypernuclei ${}^4_{\Xi^0}H$ and ${}^4_{\Xi^-}He$ with the mass $M = 4121\,MeV$ the binding energy $B = 12\,MeV$ and $B = 17\,MeV$ are calculated. The interesting results we obtained for the states ${}^4_{\Xi^0}He$ and ${}^4_{\Xi^-}H$ with the mass $M = 4106\,MeV$. The binding energies are equal to $25\,MeV$ and $34\,MeV$, respectively.

The three hypernuclei ${}^4_{\Lambda\Lambda}H$, ${}^4_{\Lambda\Lambda}He$ and $nn\Lambda\Lambda$ possess the negative binding energies $B_1 = -8\,MeV$, $B_2 = -19\,MeV$ and $B_3 = -15\,MeV$, respectively (Tables III – V). These state masses $M_1 = 4118\,MeV$, $M_2 = 4127\,MeV$ and $M_3 = 4127\,MeV$ are located near the energy thresholds. For the other nuclei the binding energies are large, $\sim 50 - 150\,MeV$ (Tables I – V).

We predict the eight hypernuclei with one s-quark. These states are determined by the isospin $I = \frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{3}{2}$, $\frac{5}{2}$ and the spin-parity $J^P = 0^+$, 1^+. Then the 38 hypernuclei with two s-quarks are calculated. We obtained the two heavy hypernuclei ${}^4_\Omega H$ ($pnn\Omega$) and ${}^4_\Omega He$ ($ppn\Omega$) with the mass equal to $M = 4293\,MeV$ and the binding energies $B_1 = 198\,MeV$ and $B_2 = 196\,MeV$, respectively. We derived only 16 different system equations, therefore the masses of hypernuclei with $A = 4$ are degenerated. The electromagnetic effect is not included. The estimation of theoretical error is equal to $1\,MeV$. This result has been obtained with the choice of the parameters of the model. The spectrum of nuclei and hypernuclei changes from light quark masses. In the recent work [31] on the H-dibaryon and nucleon-nucleon scattering length one shown this for even larger systems.

IV. CONCLUSIONS.

The binding energies of a range of nuclei and hypernuclei with the atomic number $A = 4$ and strangeness $S \leq 2$, including ${}^4He$, ${}^4_\Lambda He$ and ${}^4_{\Lambda\Lambda}He$ are calculated in the limit of flavor $SU(3)$ symmetry at the physical strange quark mass with quantum chromodynamics (without electromagnetic interactions) [15]. Infinite volume binding energies $B^\infty({}^4_2He)$, $B^\infty({}^4_\Lambda He)$, $B^\infty({}^4_{\Lambda\Lambda}He)$ are given in Table VI.

In present paper the hypernucleus ${}^4_{\Lambda\Lambda}He$ with the mass $M = 4118\,MeV$ and the binding energy $B_1 = -8\,MeV$ there is near threshold. This state is similar to the multiquark systems with the small decay width: the tetraquarks, pentaquarks and hexaquarks [32]. The experimental results on this state are not definite. The interactions of nucleous in the nuclei can be considered using the phenomenological nucleon-nucleon potential, which will be able to construct in the first principles QCD. We believe that the nucleon-nucleon potentials describe only small part of the quark-gluon interactions in the hadrons. In this case the new approach will be able to consider the low-lying hypernuclei and will allows us to construct the nonnucleon systems.

The all baryon using the strange quarks have the short life time. In the nucleon medium their life time do not change essentially. Therefore, the systems of 6, 9, 12 quarks are shortliving. These results was discovered by the experimental data. In the case of hadrons we will able to shown that the rescattering hadron to be forbidden space corresponds, to the interaction with the massive particles, which consist of some quarks. The meson exchange model allows us to obtain the only reduced picture of baryon interactions. The new approach are constructed using the quark-gluon structure of hypernuclei.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank T. Barnes and L.V. Krasnov for useful discussions. The reported study was partially supported by RFBR, research project No. 13-02-91154.

[1] M. Fukugita, Y. Kuramashi, H. Mino, M. Okawa, and A. Ukawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 2176 (1994).
[2] M. Fukugita, Y. Kuramashi, M. Okawa, H. Mino, and A. Ukawa, Phys. Rev. D**52**, 3003 (1995).
[3] S.R. Beane, P.F. Bedaque, K. Orginos, and M.J. Savage, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 012001 (2006).
[4] S.R. Beane, W. Detmold, H.-W. Lin, T.C. Luu, K. Orginos, M.J. Savage, A. Torok, and A. Walker-Loud (NPLQCD Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D**81**, 054505 (2010).
[5] N. Ishii, S. Aoki, and T. Hatsuda, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 002001 (2007).
[6] S. Aoki, T. Hatsuda, and N. Ishii, Comput. Sci. Dis. **1**, 015009 (2008).
[7] S. Aoki, T. Hatsuda, and N. Ishii, Prog. Theor. Phys. **123**, 89 (2010).
[8] T. Yamazaki, Y. Kuramashi, and A. Ukawa, Phys. Rev. D**84**, 054506 (2011).
[9] T. Yamazaki, Y. Kuramashi, and A. Ukawa, Phys. Rev. D**81**, 111504 (2010).
[10] P. de Forcrand and M. Fromm, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 112005 (2010).
[11] S.R. Beane, E. Chang, W. Detmold, H.-W. Lin, T.C. Luu, K. Orginos, A. Parreno, M.J. Savage, A. Torok, and A. Walker-Loud, (NPLQCD Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D**85**, 054511 (2012).
[12] T. Inoue S. Aoki, T. Doi, T. Hatsuda, T. Ikeda, N. Ishii, K. Murano, H. Nemura, and K. Sasaki (HAL QCD Collaboration), Nucl. Phys. A**881**, 28 (2012).
[13] S.R. Beane, E. Chang, S.D. Cohen, W. Detwold, H.W. Liu, I.C. Luu, K. Orginc, A. Parreno, M.J. Savage, and A. Walker-Loud, arXiv: 1206.5219.
[14] T. Yamazaki, K.I. Ishikawa, Y. Kuramashi, and A. Ukawa, Phys. Rev. D**86**, 074514 (2012).
[15] S.R. Beane, E. Chang, S.D. Cohen, W. Detwold, H.W. Liu, I.C. Luu, K. Orginc, A. Parreno, M.J. Savage, and A. Walker-Loud, (NPLQCD Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D**87**, 034506 (2013).
[16] S.M. Gerasyuta and E.E. Matskevich, Phys. Rev. D**82**, 056002 (2010).
[17] S.M. Gerasyuta, Z. Phys. C**60**, 683 (1993).
[18] S.M. Gerasyuta and E.E. Matskevich, arXiv:1211.0667.
[19] S.M. Gerasyuta and E.E. Matskevich, Phys. Rev. D**87**, 116006 (2013).
[20] J. Pochodzalla, Acta Phys. Pol. B**42**, 833 (2011).
[21] V.B. Kopeliovich, arXiv: 1203.3979.
[22] V.B. Kopeliovich, Nucl. Phys. A**721**, 1007 (2005).
[23] M. Agnello et al. [FINUDA Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 042501 (2012).
[24] G.'t Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B**72**, 461 (1974).
[25] G. Veneziano, Nucl. Phys. B**117**, 519 (1976).
[26] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B**160**, 57 (1979).
[27] O.A. Yakubovsky, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **5**, 1312 (1967).
[28] S.P. Merkuriev and L.D. Faddeev, Quantum Scattering Theory for System of Few Particles (Nauka, Moscow, 1985) p. 398.
[29] V.V. Anisovich, S.M. Gerasyuta, and A.V. Sarantsev, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A**6**, 625 (1991).
[30] G. Chew, S. Mandelstam, Phys. Rev. **119**, 467 (1960).
[31] Z. Davondi and M.J. Savage, Phys. Rev. D**84**, 114502 (2011).
[32] S.M. Gerasyuta, V.I. Kochkin, and E.E. Matskevich. Tetraquarks, pentaquarks, hexaquarks in relativistic quark model (St. Petersburg University, St. Petersburg, 2012), p. 200.

Appendix A

For instance, we consider the reduced amplitudes in the Appendix A: $\alpha_1^{1^{uu}}$ (page 10), $\alpha_2^{1^{uu}1^{uu}}$ (page 11), $\alpha_3^{1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}}$ (page 12) and $\alpha_4^{1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ds}}$ (page 13).

In Fig. 1 the coefficient of the term $I_{29}(1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ds}1^{uu})\,\alpha_1^{1^{uu}}$ (page 10) is equal to 8, that is the number $8 = 2$ (the permutation of particles 1 and 2) $\times 2$ (the permutation of pairs (12) and (34)) $\times 2$ (we can replace the 9-th u-quark with the 10-th u-quark); the coefficient of the term $I_{30}(1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ds}1^{uu})\,\alpha_1^{1^{uu}}$ (page 10) is equal to 4, that is the number $4 = 2$ (the permutation of particles 1 and 2) $\times 2$ (the permutation of particles 3 and 4); the coefficient of the term $I_{31}(1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{uu})\,\alpha_2^{1^{uu}1^{uu}}$ (page 11) is equal to 4, that is the number $4 = 2$ (the permutation of of pairs (12) and (78)) $\times 2$ (we can replace the 9-th u-quark with the 10-th u-quark); the coefficient of the term $I_{32}(1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{uu})\,\alpha_2^{1^{uu}1^{uu}}$ (page 11) is equal to 8, that is the number $8 = 2$ (the permutation of particles 1 and 2) $\times 2$ (the permutation of particles 3 and 4) $\times 2$ (we can replace the 9-th u-quark with the 10-th u-quark); the coefficient of the term $I_{37}(1^{dd}1^{uu}1^{uu}0^{ds}1^{dd}1^{uu}1^{uu})\,\alpha_3^{1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}}$ (page 12) is equal to 16, that is the number $16 = 2$ (the permutation of pairs (34) and (56)) $\times 2$ (we can replace the 10-th u-quark with the 11-th u-quark) $\times 2$ (the permutation of particles 1 and 2) $\times 2$ (we can replace the 9-th d-quark with the 12-th d-quark); the coefficient of the term $I_{38}(1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{uu}0^{ud})\,\alpha_3^{1^{uu}1^{uu}0^{ud}}$ (page 12) is equal to 32, that is the number $32 = 2$ (the permutation of particles 1 and 2) $\times 2$ (the permutation of particles 3 and 4) $\times 2$ (the permutation of pairs (12) and (34)) $\times 2$ (we can replace the 9-th u-quark with the 11-th u-quark) $\times 2$ (we can replace the 10-th d-quark with the 12-th d-quark); the coefficient of the term $I_{46}(1^{uu}0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{dd}1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ds})\,\alpha_4^{1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ds}}$ (page 13) is equal to 8, that is the number $8 = 2$ (the permutation of pairs (12) and (56)) $\times 2$ (we can replace the 9-th u-quark with the 10-th u-quark) $\times 2$ (we can replace the 11-th d-quark with the 12-th d-quark).

The similar approach allows us to take into account the coefficients in all the diagrams and equations.

Appendix B



$\alpha_4^{1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ds}}$ $\quad = \quad \lambda \quad + \quad 8\, I_{29}(1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ds}1^{uu})\, \alpha_1^{1^{uu}} \quad + \quad 4\, I_{30}(1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ds}1^{uu})\, \alpha_1^{1^{uu}} \quad +$



$4\, I_{29}(1^{dd}1^{uu}1^{uu}0^{ds}1^{dd})\, \alpha_1^{1^{dd}} \quad 2\, I_{29}(0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}1^{dd})\, \alpha_1^{1^{dd}} \quad 2\, I_{30}(1^{dd}0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd})\, \alpha_1^{1^{dd}} \quad 8\, I_{29}(1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ds}0^{ud})\, \alpha_1^{0^{ud}} +$



$4\, I_{29}(1^{dd}1^{uu}1^{uu}0^{ds}0^{ud})\, \alpha_1^{0^{ud}} \quad 2\, I_{29}(0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ud})\, \alpha_1^{0^{ud}} \quad 8\, I_{30}(1^{uu}1^{dd}1^{uu}0^{ds}0^{ud})\, \alpha_1^{0^{ud}} \quad 4\, I_{30}(1^{uu}0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ud})\, \alpha_1^{0^{ud}} +$



$2\, I_{29}(0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{us})\, \alpha_1^{0^{us}} \quad 4\, I_{30}(1^{uu}0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{us})\, \alpha_1^{0^{us}} \quad 2\, I_{29}(0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ds})\, \alpha_1^{0^{ds}} \quad 2\, I_{30}(1^{dd}0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{uu}0^{ds})\, \alpha_1^{0^{ds}} +$



$4\, I_{31}(1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{uu})$
$\times\alpha_2^{1^{uu}1^{uu}}$ +



$8\, I_{32}(1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{uu})$
$\times\alpha_2^{1^{uu}1^{uu}}$ +



$32\, I_{32}(1^{uu}1^{dd}1^{uu}0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{dd})$
$\times\alpha_2^{1^{uu}1^{dd}}$ +



$16\, I_{32}(1^{uu}0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{dd}1^{uu}1^{dd})$
$\times\alpha_2^{1^{uu}1^{dd}}$ +



$16\, I_{31}(1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ds}1^{uu}0^{ud})$
$\times\alpha_2^{1^{uu}0^{ud}}$ +



$32\, I_{32}(1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ds}1^{uu}0^{ud})$
$\times\alpha_2^{1^{uu}0^{ud}}$ +



$16\, I_{32}(1^{uu}1^{dd}1^{uu}0^{ds}1^{uu}0^{ud})$
$\times\alpha_2^{1^{uu}0^{ud}}$ +



$8\, I_{32}(1^{uu}0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{dd}1^{uu}0^{ud})$
$\times\alpha_2^{1^{uu}0^{ud}}$ +



$8\, I_{32}(1^{uu}0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{dd}1^{uu}0^{us})$
$\times\alpha_2^{1^{uu}0^{us}}$ +



$16\, I_{32}(1^{uu}0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{dd}1^{uu}0^{ds})$
$\times\alpha_2^{1^{uu}0^{ds}}$ +



$2\, I_{31}(1^{dd}1^{uu}1^{uu}0^{ds}1^{dd}1^{dd})$
$\times\alpha_2^{1^{dd}1^{dd}}$ +



$4\, I_{32}(1^{dd}0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}1^{dd})$
$\times\alpha_2^{1^{dd}1^{dd}}$ +



$8\, I_{31}(1^{dd}1^{uu}1^{uu}0^{ds}1^{dd}0^{ud})$
$\times\alpha_2^{1^{dd}0^{ud}}$ +



$16\, I_{32}(1^{dd}1^{uu}1^{uu}0^{ds}1^{dd}0^{ud})$
$\times\alpha_2^{1^{dd}0^{ud}}$ +



$8\, I_{32}(1^{uu}0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ud}1^{dd})$
$\times\alpha_2^{1^{dd}0^{ud}}$ +



$8\, I_{32}(1^{dd}0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{uu}0^{ud}1^{dd})$
$\times\alpha_2^{1^{dd}0^{ud}}$ +



$8\, I_{32}(1^{dd}0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ud})$
$\times\alpha_2^{1^{dd}0^{ud}}$ +



$4\, I_{31}(0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}1^{dd}0^{us})$
$\times\alpha_2^{1^{dd}0^{us}}$ +



$8\, I_{32}(1^{dd}0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{us})$
$\times\alpha_2^{1^{dd}0^{us}}$ +



$2\, I_{31}(0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}1^{dd}0^{ds})$
$\times\alpha_2^{1^{dd}0^{ds}}$ +



$4\,I_{32}(1^{dd}0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ds})$
$\times\alpha_2^{1^{dd}0^{ds}}$ +



$4\,I_{31}(1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ds}0^{ud}0^{ud})$
$\times\alpha_2^{0^{ud}0^{ud}}$ +



$2\,I_{31}(1^{dd}1^{uu}1^{uu}0^{ds}0^{ud}0^{ud})$
$\times\alpha_2^{0^{ud}0^{ud}}$ +



$8\,I_{32}(1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ds}0^{ud}0^{ud})$
$\times\alpha_2^{0^{ud}0^{ud}}$ +



$32\,I_{32}(1^{uu}1^{dd}1^{uu}0^{ds}0^{ud}0^{ud})$
$\times\alpha_2^{0^{ud}0^{ud}}$ +



$16\,I_{32}(1^{uu}0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ud}0^{ud})$
$\times\alpha_2^{0^{ud}0^{ud}}$ +



$4\,I_{32}(1^{dd}0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{uu}0^{ud}0^{ud})$
$\times\alpha_2^{0^{ud}0^{ud}}$ +



$2\,I_{31}(0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ud}0^{us})$
$\times\alpha_2^{0^{ud}0^{us}}$ +



$16\,I_{32}(1^{uu}0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ud}0^{us})$
$\times\alpha_2^{0^{ud}0^{us}}$ +



$4\,I_{32}(1^{dd}0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{uu}0^{ud}0^{us})$
$\times\alpha_2^{0^{ud}0^{us}}$ +



$4\,I_{31}(0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ud}0^{ds})$
$\times\alpha_2^{0^{ud}0^{ds}}$ +



$8\,I_{32}(1^{uu}0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ud}0^{ds})$
$\times\alpha_2^{0^{ud}0^{ds}}$ +



$8\,I_{32}(1^{dd}0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{uu}0^{ud}0^{ds})$
$\times\alpha_2^{0^{ud}0^{ds}}$ +



$16\,I_{37}(1^{dd}1^{uu}1^{uu}0^{ds}1^{dd}1^{uu}1^{uu})$
$\times\alpha_3^{1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}}$ +



$8\,I_{37}(0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}1^{dd}1^{uu}1^{uu})$
$\times\alpha_3^{1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}}$ +



$32\,I_{37}(1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{uu}0^{ud})$
$\times\alpha_3^{1^{uu}1^{uu}0^{ud}}$ +



$16\,I_{37}(1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ds}0^{ud}1^{uu}1^{uu})$
$\times\alpha_3^{1^{uu}1^{uu}0^{ud}}$ +



$32\,I_{38}(1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{uu}0^{ud})$
$\times\alpha_3^{1^{uu}1^{uu}0^{ud}}$ +



$8\,I_{37}(0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{uu})$
$\times\alpha_3^{1^{uu}1^{uu}0^{ds}}$ +



$32\,I_{37}(1^{uu}1^{dd}1^{uu}0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ud})$
$\times\alpha_3^{1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ud}}$ +



$32\, I_{37}(1^{dd}1^{uu}1^{uu}0^{ds}1^{dd}1^{uu}0^{ud})$ $\times\alpha_3^{1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ud}}$ + $16\, I_{37}(0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}1^{dd}1^{uu}0^{ud})$ $\times\alpha_3^{1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ud}}$ + $32\, I_{38}(1^{uu}1^{dd}1^{uu}0^{ds}1^{uu}0^{ud}1^{dd})$ $\times\alpha_3^{1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ud}}$ + $16\, I_{37}(1^{uu}0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{dd}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{us})$ $\times\alpha_3^{1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{us}}$ +

$16\, I_{38}(1^{uu}0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{dd}1^{uu}0^{us}1^{dd})$ $\times\alpha_3^{1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{us}}$ + $16\, I_{37}(1^{uu}0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{dd}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ds})$ $\times\alpha_3^{1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ds}}$ + $8\, I_{46}\left(1^{uu}0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{dd}1^{uu}\right.$ $\left.1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ds}\right)\alpha_4^{1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ds}}$ + $8\, I_{46}\left(1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ds}1^{uu}\right.$ $\left.1^{uu}0^{ud}0^{ud}\right)\alpha_4^{1^{uu}1^{uu}0^{ud}0^{ud}}$ +

$16\, I_{46}\left(1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ds}1^{uu}\right.$ $\left.0^{ud}1^{uu}0^{ud}\right)\alpha_4^{1^{uu}1^{uu}0^{ud}0^{ud}}$ + $32\, I_{46}\left(1^{uu}1^{dd}1^{uu}0^{ds}1^{uu}\right.$ $\left.0^{ud}1^{dd}0^{ud}\right)\alpha_4^{1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ud}0^{ud}}$ + $16\, I_{46}\left(1^{uu}0^{ds}1^{uu}1^{dd}1^{uu}\right.$ $\left.0^{ud}1^{dd}0^{us}\right)\alpha_4^{1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ud}0^{us}}$

Fig. 1. The graphical equations of the reduced amplitude $\alpha_4^{1^{uu}1^{uu}1^{dd}0^{ds}}$ ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}He$ $ppn\Lambda$ with the isospin projection $I_3 = \frac{1}{2}$ and the spin-parity $J^P = 0^+$ (Table I).

Appendix C: Some useful formulae.

We used the functions I_1, I_2, I_3, I_4, I_5, I_6, I_7, I_8, I_9, I_{11}, I_{12}, I_{13}, I_{14}, I_{15}, I_{16}, I_{18}, I_{23}, I_{24}, I_{29}, I_{30}, I_{31}, I_{32}, I_{37}, I_{38}, I_{46}:

$$I_1(ij) = \frac{B_j(s_0^{13})}{B_i(s_0^{12})} \int_{(m_1+m_2)^2}^{\frac{(m_1+m_2)^2\Lambda_i}{4}} \frac{ds'_{12}}{\pi} \frac{G_i^2(s_0^{12})\rho_i(s'_{12})}{s'_{12}-s_0^{12}} \int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{dz_1(1)}{2} \frac{1}{1-B_j(s'_{13})}\,, \tag{C1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(ijk) &= \frac{B_j(s_0^{13})B_k(s_0^{24})}{B_i(s_0^{12})} \int_{(m_1+m_2)^2}^{\frac{(m_1+m_2)^2\Lambda_i}{4}} \frac{ds'_{12}}{\pi} \frac{G_i^2(s_0^{12})\rho_i(s'_{12})}{s'_{12}-s_0^{12}} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{dz_1(2)}{2} \int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{dz_2(2)}{2} \\ &\times \int_{z_3(2)^-}^{z_3(2)^+} dz_3(2) \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-z_1^2(2)-z_2^2(2)-z_3^2(2)+2z_1(2)z_2(2)z_3(2)}} \\ &\times \frac{1}{1-B_j(s'_{13})} \frac{1}{1-B_k(s'_{24})}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{C2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_3(ijk) &= \frac{B_k(s_0^{23})}{B_i(s_0^{12})B_j(s_0^{34})} \int_{(m_1+m_2)^2}^{\frac{(m_1+m_2)^2\Lambda_i}{4}} \frac{ds'_{12}}{\pi} \frac{G_i^2(s_0^{12})\rho_i(s'_{12})}{s'_{12}-s_0^{12}} \\ &\times \int_{(m_3+m_4)^2}^{\frac{(m_3+m_4)^2\Lambda_j}{4}} \frac{ds'_{34}}{\pi} \frac{G_j^2(s_0^{34})\rho_j(s'_{34})}{s'_{34}-s_0^{34}} \int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{dz_1(3)}{2} \int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{dz_2(3)}{2} \frac{1}{1-B_k(s'_{23})}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{C3}$$

$$I_4(ijk) = I_1(ik)\,, \tag{C4}$$

$$I_5(ijkl) = I_2(ikl)\,, \tag{C5}$$

$$I_6(ijkl) = I_1(ik) \times I_1(jl)\,, \tag{C6}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_7(ijkl) &= \frac{B_k(s_0^{23})B_l(s_0^{45})}{B_i(s_0^{12})B_j(s_0^{34})} \int_{(m_1+m_2)^2}^{\frac{(m_1+m_2)^2\Lambda_i}{4}} \frac{ds'_{12}}{\pi} \frac{G_i^2(s_0^{12})\rho_i(s'_{12})}{s'_{12}-s_0^{12}} \\ &\times \int_{(m_3+m_4)^2}^{\frac{(m_3+m_4)^2\Lambda_j}{4}} \frac{ds'_{34}}{\pi} \frac{G_j^2(s_0^{34})\rho_j(s'_{34})}{s'_{34}-s_{34}} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{dz_1(7)}{2} \int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{dz_2(7)}{2} \int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{dz_3(7)}{2} \\ &\times \int_{z_4(7)^-}^{z_4(7)^+} dz_4(7) \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-z_1^2(7)-z_3^2(7)-z_4^2(7)+2z_1(7)z_3(7)z_4(7)}} \\ &\times \frac{1}{1-B_k(s'_{23})} \frac{1}{1-B_l(s'_{45})}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{C7}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_8(ijklm) &= \frac{B_k(s_0^{15})B_l(s_0^{23})B_m(s_0^{46})}{B_i(s_0^{12})B_j(s_0^{34})} \int\limits_{(m_1+m_2)^2}^{\frac{(m_1+m_2)^2\Lambda_i}{4}} \frac{ds'_{12}}{\pi} \frac{G_i^2(s_0^{12})\rho_i(s'_{12})}{s'_{12}-s_0^{12}} \\
&\times \int\limits_{(m_3+m_4)^2}^{\frac{(m_3+m_4)^2\Lambda_j}{4}} \frac{ds'_{34}}{\pi} \frac{G_j^2(s_0^{34})\rho_j(s'_{34})}{s'_{34}-s_0^{34}} \\
&\times \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{dz_1(8)}{2} \int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{dz_2(8)}{2} \int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{dz_3(8)}{2} \int\limits_{z_4(8)^-}^{z_4(8)^+} dz_4(8) \int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{dz_5(8)}{2} \int\limits_{z_6(8)^-}^{z_6(8)^+} dz_6(8) \\
&\times \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-z_1^2(8)-z_3^2(8)-z_4^2(8)+2z_1(8)z_3(8)z_4(8)}} \\
&\times \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-z_2^2(8)-z_5^2(8)-z_6^2(8)+2z_2(8)z_5(8)z_6(8)}} \\
&\times \frac{1}{1-B_k(s'_{15})} \frac{1}{1-B_l(s'_{23})} \frac{1}{1-B_m(s'_{46})}\,, \qquad \text{(C8)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$I_9(ijkl) = I_3(ijl)\,, \qquad \text{(C9)}$$

$$I_{11}(ijklm) = I_1(ik) \times I_2(jlm)\,, \qquad \text{(C10)}$$

$$I_{12}(ijkl) = I_1(il)\,, \qquad \text{(C11)}$$

$$I_{13}(ijklm) = I_2(ilm)\,, \qquad \text{(C12)}$$

$$I_{14}(ijklm) = I_1(il) \times I_1(jm)\,, \qquad \text{(C13)}$$

$$I_{15}(ijklm) = I_7(ijlm)\,, \qquad \text{(C14)}$$

$$I_{16}(ijklmn) = I_8(ijlmn)\,, \qquad \text{(C15)}$$

$$I_{18}(ijklmn) = I_1(il) \times I_2(jmn)\,, \qquad \text{(C16)}$$

$$I_{23}(ijklmn) = I_2(ikl) \times I_2(jmn)\,, \qquad \text{(C17)}$$

$$I_{24}(ijklmnp) = I_2(ilm) \times I_2(jnp)\,, \qquad \text{(C18)}$$

$$I_{29}(ijklm) = I_1(im)\,, \qquad \text{(C19)}$$

$$I_{30}(ijklm) = I_3(ijm)\,, \qquad \text{(C20)}$$

$$I_{31}(ijklmn) = I_2(imn)\,, \qquad \text{(C21)}$$

$$I_{32}(ijklmn) = I_1(im) \times I_1(jn)\,, \qquad \text{(C22)}$$

$$I_{37}(ijklmnp) = I_1(im) \times I_2(jnp)\,, \qquad \text{(C23)}$$

$$I_{38}(ijklmnp) \;=\; I_8(ijmnp)\,, \tag{C24}$$

$$I_{46}(ijklmnpq) \;=\; I_2(imn)\times I_2(jpq)\,. \tag{C25}$$

Here i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q correspond to the diquarks with the spin-parity $J^P = 0^+, 1^+$.

The other functions I_i can be neglected. The contributions of these functions are smaller of few orders as compared the functions (C1) – (C25). We do not take into account these functions in the systems of coupled equations.